IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH JANUARY 2007 / 20TH PAUSHA 1928

RP.No. 8 of 2007(V)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.26440/2002 Dated 07/11/2006
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS
---

1. STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.,
REP.BY ITS CHAIRMAN STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.,
ISPAT BHAVAN, LODHY ROAD, NEW DELHI-3.

2. THE CHAIRMAN,
STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.,
ISPAT BHAVAN, LODHY ROAD, NEW DELHI-3.

3. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
SALEM STEEL, PLANT SALEM-13,
TAMIL NADU.

BY ADV. SMT.S.KARTHIKA

RESPONDENTS: ORIGINAL PETITIONER:

M.R.SURENDRADAS, 28/566,
NANU EZUTHACHAN NAGAR, IIND LANE,
P.O. VADAKKECHIRA, TRICHUR-20.

BY ADV. SRI.A.S.P.KURUP

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

R.P.8 of 2007 in O.P.No.26440/2002

Dated 10th January, 2007.

O R D E R

The Review Petition is at the instance of the respondents in O.P.26440/02. The issue pertains to the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the writ petitioner. This Court, in the judgment sought to be reviewed, directed the appellate authority to afford an opportunity for hearing to the writ petitioner and pass orders on merits on Ext.P3. This Court also quashed Exts.P2 and P5. Ext.P2 is the order of the disciplinary authority and Ext.P3 is the appeal thereon. Ext.P5 is the impugned order. The same happened to be quashed for the only ground of not affording an opportunity for personal hearing to the writ petitioner. Thus Ext.P5 alone required to be quashed. The judgment is reviewed to that extent making it clear that Ext.P2 will stand and what is quashed is only Ext.P5.

The review petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs

KURIAN JOSEPH, J

R.P.No.8 of 2007 in O.P.26440/02

O R D E R

Dated 10th January, 2007.